## Mark scheme

## Question 1

|  | Target: Source analysis (making inferences). <br> AO3: 4 marks. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Marking instructions |  |
| Award 1 mark for each valid inference up to a maximum of two inferences. The second mark for each <br> example should be awarded for supporting detail selected from the source. |  |

## Question 2

|  |  | Target: Analysis of second order concepts: causation [AO2]; Knowledge and understanding of features and characteristics [AO1]. <br> AO2: 6 marks. <br> AO1: 6 marks. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Level | Mark | Descriptor |
|  | 0 | No rewardable material. |
| 1 | 1-3 | - A simple or generalised answer is given, lacking development and organisation. [AO2] <br> - Limited knowledge and understanding of the topic is shown. [AO1] |
| 2 | 4-6 | - An explanation is given, showing limited analysis and with implicit or unsustained links to the conceptual focus of the question. It shows some development and organisation of material, but a line of reasoning is not sustained. [AO2] <br> - Accurate and relevant information is included, showing some knowledge and understanding of the period. [AO1] <br> Maximum 5 marks for Level 2 answers that do not go beyond aspects prompted by the stimulus points. |
| 3 | 7-9 | - An explanation is given, showing some analysis, which is mainly directed at the conceptual focus of the question. It shows a line of reasoning that is generally sustained, although some passages may lack coherence and organisation. [AO2] <br> - Accurate and relevant information is included, showing good knowledge and understanding of the required features or characteristics of the period studied. [AO1] <br> Maximum 8 marks for Level 3 answers that do not go beyond aspects prompted by the stimulus points. |
| 4 | 10-12 | - An analytical explanation is given which is directed consistently at the conceptual focus of the question, showing a line of reasoning that is coherent, sustained and logically structured. [AO2] <br> - Accurate and relevant information is precisely selected to address the question directly, showing wide-ranging knowledge and understanding of the required features or characteristics of the period studied. [AO1] <br> No access to Level 4 for answers which do not go beyond aspects prompted by the stimulus points. |

## Question 3a

|  |  | Target: Analysis and evaluation of source utility. <br> AO3: 8 marks. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Level | Mark | Descriptor |\(\left|\begin{array}{l}No rewardable material. <br>

\hline \mathbf{1} <br>
\hline 0\end{array} \mathbf{1 - 2} \quad \begin{array}{l}- A simple judgement on utility is given, and supported by undeveloped comment <br>
on the content of the sources and/or their provenance{ }^{. Simple comprehension} <br>
of the source material is shown by the extraction or paraphrase of some <br>

content. Limited contextual knowledge is deployed with links to the sources.\end{array}\right|\)| - Judgements on source utility for the specified enquiry are given, using valid |
| :--- |
| criteria. Judgements are supported by developed comment related to the |
| content of the sources and/or their provenance ${ }^{1}$. Comprehension and some |
| analysis of the sources is shown by the selection and use of material to support |
| comments on their utility. Contextual knowledge is used directly to support |
| comments on the usefulness of the content of the sources and/or their |
| provenance. |


|  |  | Target: Analysis of interpretations (how they differ). <br> AO4: 4 marks. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Level | Mark | Descriptor |$\quad$| No rewardable material. |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1 - 2}$ |
| - Limited analysis of the interpretations is shown by the extraction or paraphrase of <br> some content, but differences of surface detail only are given, or a difference of <br> view is asserted without direct support. |  |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3 - 4}$ |
| - The interpretations are analysed and a key difference of view is identified and <br> supported from them. |  |

## Question 3c

|  |  | Target: Analysis of interpretations (why they differ). <br> AO4: 4 marks. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Level | Mark | Descriptor |$|$| No rewardable material. |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 0 | $\mathbf{1 - 2}$ |
| - A simple valid explanation is offered but displaying only limited analysis. Support <br> for the explanation is based on simple undeveloped comment or on the selection <br> of details from the provided material or own knowledge, with only implied linkage <br> to the explanation. |  |  |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3 - 4}$ | - An explanation of a reason for difference is given, analysing the interpretations. <br> The explanation is substantiated effectively. |

## Question 3d

|  |  | Target: Analysis and evaluation of interpretations. <br> AO4: 16 marks. <br> Spelling, punctuation, grammar and the use of specialist terminology (SPaG): up to 4 additional marks. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Level | Mark | Descriptor |
|  | 0 | No rewardable material. |
| 1 | 1-4 | - Answer offers simple valid comment to agree with or counter the interpretation. Limited analysis of one interpretation is shown by selection and inclusion of some detail in the form of simple paraphrase or direct quotation. Generalised contextual knowledge is included and linked to the evaluation. |
| 2 | 5-8 | - Answer offers valid evaluative comment to agree with or counter the interpretation. Some analysis is shown in selecting and including details from both interpretations to support this comment. Some relevant contextual knowledge is included and linked to the evaluation. An overall judgement is given but its justification is insecure or undeveloped and a line of reasoning is not sustained. |
| 3 | 9-12 | - Answer provides an explained evaluation, agreeing or disagreeing with the interpretation. Good analysis of the interpretations is shown indicating difference of view and deploying this to support the evaluation. Relevant contextual knowledge is used directly to support the evaluation. An overall judgement is given with some justification and a line of reasoning is generally sustained. |
| 4 | 13-16 | - Answer provides an explained evaluation reviewing the alternative views in coming to a substantiated judgement. Precise analysis of the interpretations is shown, indicating how the differences of view are conveyed and deploying this material to support the evaluation. Relevant contextual knowledge is precisely selected to support the evaluation. An overall judgment is justified and the line of reasoning is coherent, sustained and logically structured. |

